JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) 1 United States Attorney 2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division 3 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 722) 4 Assistant United States Attorney 5 150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 6 Telephone: (408) 535-5079 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 7 Email: Eumi.Choi@usdoj.gov *E-FILED - 2/26/08* 8 Attorneys for the United States of America 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 07-00373 RMW 13 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [] 14 ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS HEARING AND TO EXCLUDE TIME 15 FROM JANUARY 28, 2008 TO APRIL 7, NED ROSCOE, and 2008 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT 16 JOHN ROSCOE, CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A),(B)17 Defendants. 18 19 On January 28, 2008, the parties appeared for a hearing before the Court for a status 20 hearing. At that time, based upon the request of the parties, the Court set the matter for a status 21 hearing on February 25, 2008 to allow for counsel's review of the discovery in this case. The 22 parties thus stipulated to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act to allow counsel for 23 defendants reasonable time for effective preparation. Since then, the matter has been reassigned 24 to the below-signed AUSA. The parties have stipulated to a continuance of the hearing until 25

The United States hereby submits this written request for an order finding that said time is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, in that the ends of justice are served

April 7, 2008, if that is agreeable to the Court.

26

27

28

1	by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy
2	trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would
3	unreasonably deny counsel for defendants and the Government the reasonable time necessary for
4	effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §
5	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
6	
7	DATED: February 21, 2008 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney
8	Sinted States Fitteriney
9	/s/
10	EUMI L. CHOI Assistant United States Attorney
11	
12	PETER LEEMING
13	Counsel for Defendant
14	CYNTHIA LIE
15	Counsel for Defendant
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the hearing be continued from February 25, 2008 until April 7, 2008. Further, it is ORDERED that the time between January 28, 2008 and April 7, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds that the ends of justice are served by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendants and the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 2/26/08

RONALD M. WHYTE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

mald M. Whyte